		Class	room Debate Rubric			
5 points		4 points	3 points	2 points	1 point	Total Points
language, and responses we respectful and	re I used	Statements and responses were respectful and used appropriate language, but once or twice body language was not.	and in appropriate		Statements, responses and/or body language were consistently not respectful.	
in this debate	was clear,	,	Most information presented in the debate was clear and accurate, but was not thorough.	Some information was accurate, but there were some minor inaccuracies	Information had some major inaccuracies OR was not clear.	
		Most counter-arguments were accurate, relevant, and strong.	Most counter-arguments were accurate and relevant, but several were weak.	Some counter arguments were weak and irrelevant.	Counter-arguments were not accurate and/or relevant.	
well supported multiple releva	d with ant facts,	adequately supported with relevant facts,			All points were not supported	
clearly tied to (premise) and	an idea organized	clearly tied to an idea (premise) and organized	clearly tied to an idea (premise) and organized	Most arguments were clearly tied to an idea (premise) and organized in a tight, logical fashion.	Most arguments were clearly tied to an idea (premise) and organized in a tight, logical fashion.	t
understood the depth and pre	e topic in sented	understood the topic in depth and presented their information with	understand the main points of the topic and	The team seemed to understand the main points of the topic, but didn't present with ease.	The team did not show an adequate understanding of the topic.	
	All statements language, and responses we respectful and appropriate la All information in this debate accurate and strong. Every major per well supported multiple relevastatistics and examples. All arguments clearly tied to (premise) and in a tight, logic The team clear understood the depth and pretentior information forcefully and	All statements, body language, and responses were respectful and used appropriate language. All information presented in this debate was clear, accurate and thorough. All counter-arguments were accurate, relevant and strong. Every major point was well supported with multiple relevant facts, statistics and/or examples. All arguments were clearly tied to an idea (premise) and organized in a tight, logical fashion. The team clearly understood the topic in depth and presented their information forcefully and	All statements, body language, and responses were respectful and used appropriate language. All information presented in this debate was clear, accurate and thorough. All counter-arguments were accurate, relevant and strong. Every major point was well supported with multiple relevant facts, statistics and/or examples. All arguments were clearly tied to an idea (premise) and organized in a tight, logical fashion. The team clearly understood the topic in depth and presented their information forcefully and	All statements, body language, and responses were respectful and used appropriate language. All information presented in this debate was clear, accurate and thorough. All counter-arguments were accurate, relevant and strong. Every major point was well supported with multiple relevant facts, statistics and/or examples. All arguments were clearly tied to an idea (premise) and organized in a tight, logical fashion. The team clearly understood the topic in depth and presented their information forcefully and appropriate language, but once or twice body language was not. Most information presented in this debate was clear, accurate and thorough. Most counter-arguments were clear, relevant, and strong. Every major point was adequately supported with relevant facts, statistics and/or examples. Most arguments were clearly tied to an idea (premise) and organized in a tight, logical fashion. The team clearly understood the topic in depth and presented their information forcefully and	All statements, body language, and responses were respectful and used appropriate language. All information presented in this debate was clear, accurate and thorough. All counter-arguments were accurate, relevant and strong. Every major point was well supported with multiple relevant statistics and/or examples. All arguments were clearly tied to an idea (premise) and organized in a tight, logical fashion. The team clearly understood the topic in depth and presented with and presented with and presented in the propose. All statements, body language was not responses were respectful and used appropriate language, but once or twice body language was not. Most information presented in this debate was clear, accurate and thorough. Most counter-arguments were accurate, relevant, and strong. Every major point was adequately supported with relevant facts, statistics and/or examples. Most arguments were clearly tied to an idea (premise) and organized in a tight, logical fashion. The team clearly understood the topic in depth and presented their information forcefully and	All statements, body language, and responses were respectful and used appropriate language, but once or twice body language was not. All information presented in this debate was clear, accurate and thorough. All counter-arguments were accurate, relevant, and strong. Every major point was well supported with relevant facts, statistics and/or examples. All arguments were clearly tied to an idea (premise) and organized in a tight, logical fashion. The team clearly understood the topic in depth and presented in floromation with arguments were forecrefully and severe more presented in the sage in this debate was clear, accurate and thorough. All counter-arguments were accurate, relevant, and strong. Statements, and responses and/or body language ware horderline appropriate appropriate appropriate. Most information presented in the debate was clear and accurate, but was clear and accurate, but was not thorough. Most counter-arguments were accurate and relevant, and strong. Most counter-arguments were accurate, relevant, and strong. Most counter-arguments were accurate, relevant, and strong. Most counter-arguments were accurate and responses were respectful and used appropriate language, and in appropriate and propropriate appropriate appropriate. Some information was accurate, but there were some minor inaccuracies OR was not clear. Some counter arguments were supported with facts, statistics and/or examples. Some points were supported well, others were clearly tied to an idea (premise) and organized in a tight, logical fashion. The team clearly understood the topic in depth and presented their information with appoints of the topic and principle in a tight, logical fashion. The team clearly understood the topic in depth and presented their information with appoints of the topic, but didn't present with logical.

Comments: